

Dawkins! You Can't Reach a Point by Debating Priests

You've turned down Mr. Adnan Oktar's face to face debate offer by bringing along scientific evidence. Now you only debate secondary school children and priests. If you're after victory, you'll be ashamed.

You've turned down Mr. Adnan Oktar's face to face debate offer by bringing along scientific evidence. Now you only debate secondary school children and priests. If you're after victory, you'll be ashamed. Would it be a victory to defeat a priest, who has no information about Darwinism? Sooner or later, you will confront Mr. Adnan Oktar. What are you going to do then?

Your works feel show intended, you keep claiming you're an African ape. Whereas you know your grandmaster's explanation on this matter.

"But then with me the horrid doubt always arises whether the convictions of man's mind, which has been developed from the mind of the lower animals, ARE OF ANY VALUE or AT ALL TRUSTWORTHY. Would any one TRUST IN THE CONVICTIONS OF A MONKEY'S MIND, IF THERE ARE ANY CONVICTIONS IN SUCH A MIND?"^[1]

As you see, your grandmaster Darwin says opinion of a monkey cannot be trusted. How do you take this?

The fact that you choose secondary school children for a debate shows your desperation. But this is a fact that even the secondary school children do not believe what you're saying. They're just laughing at what you're saying and they all say that they believe in Allah (God). Both the children and the priests you're arguing, love Allah and the Prophet Jesus (peace be upon him). They believe in Hereafter and the importance of good morality. They see that the universe has been created by a magnificent art. THERE IS NO WAY YOU CAN DECEIVE THEM!

Rather than arguing with children and priests, COME DEBATE MR. ADNAN OKTAR if you can trust your power, knowledge and scientific proofs, if you have any.

YET YOU CANNOT COME. BECAUSE YOU ARE VERY WELL AWARE THAT THIS DEBATE WILL BE OVER BEFORE IT STARTS AND **YOU ARE DEFINITELY GOING TO BE DEFEATED.**

WHEN:

- Only 5 of 100 million fossils are laid before you
- You're asked if a single protein can emerge by chance
- The existence of 100 million fossils are mentioned
- The emergence of irreducibly complex systems of living things are asked
- You apprehend you have no answer about the emergence of life
- You have no answer for the Cambrian animals
- You have no explanation for Darwinist forgeries

You would LOOK UP IN THE AIR AND THINK FOR HOURS again as you did when you were asked if there was a "transitional form fossil"...

But with the rotten logic you're defending, YOU CANNOT COME UP WITH AN ANSWER EVEN IF YOU'VE THOUGHT UP UNTIL THE MORNING.

You cannot bring out scientific evidence, but only display ludicrous manners and try to entertain people. You cannot reach a point, trying to entertain children by jokes and comedy. By this way, you

wouldn't be recognized as a scientist, YOU WOULD BE A COMEDY ARTIST.

There is nothing you can do. You cannot come to a conclusion with joke and comedy. You need to respond with scientific evidence, REAL EVIDENCE. If you cannot, YOU NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE YOUR BIG FAILURE. Remember, even Darwin, accepted that his theory would be demolished unless a transitional fossil is found. WE ARE IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY, THERE IS NOT EVEN ONE TRANSITIONAL FOSSIL and DARWIN'S THEORY IS DEMOLISHED! Be honest and come and confront Mr. Adnan Oktar with scientific evidence, if there is any! If there is not – which is in fact impossible – then acknowledge the failure the entire world is aware of.

[1] —Charles Darwin, Letter to William Graham, 1881. Francis Darwin, The Life and Letters of Charles Darwin, No. 1, p. 285 (New York: Basic Books, 1959)

<https://www.harunyahya.info/en/articles/dawkins-you-cant-reach-a-point-by-debating-priests-40628>